

Public Document Pack



NOTTINGHAM CITY COUNCIL OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY - CALL IN PANEL

Date: Wednesday, 15 June 2016

Time: 10.00 am

Place: Ground Floor Committee Room - Loxley House, Station Street, Nottingham, NG2 3NG

Councillors are requested to attend the above meeting to transact the following business

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "Rav Kalsi".

Corporate Director for Resilience

Governance Officer: Rav Kalsi **Direct Dial:** 0115 8763759

1	APPOINTMENT OF CHAIR	
2	APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE	
3	DECLARATIONS OF INTERESTS	
4	CONFIRMATION OF VALIDITY OF CALL-IN Report of the Head of Legal Services	3 - 22
5	CONSIDERATION OF CALL-IN REQUEST Report of the Head of Legal Services	23 - 24

IF YOU NEED ANY ADVICE ON DECLARING AN INTEREST IN ANY ITEM ON THE AGENDA, PLEASE CONTACT THE GOVERNANCE OFFICER SHOWN ABOVE, IF POSSIBLE BEFORE THE DAY OF THE MEETING

CITIZENS ATTENDING MEETINGS ARE ASKED TO ARRIVE AT LEAST 15 MINUTES BEFORE THE START OF THE MEETING TO BE ISSUED WITH VISITOR BADGE

CITIZENS ARE ADVISED THAT THIS MEETING MAY BE RECORDED BY MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC. ANY RECORDING OR REPORTING ON THIS MEETING SHOULD TAKE PLACE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE COUNCIL'S POLICY ON RECORDING AND REPORTING ON PUBLIC MEETINGS, WHICH IS AVAILABLE AT WWW.NOTTINGHAMCITY.GOV.UK. INDIVIDUALS INTENDING TO RECORD THE MEETING ARE ASKED TO NOTIFY THE GOVERNANCE OFFICER SHOWN ABOVE IN ADVANCE.

OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE – CALL-IN PANEL
15 JUNE 2016
CONFIRMATION OF VALIDITY OF CALL-IN REQUEST RELATING DELEGATED DECISION 2453 – EARLY WORKS INCLUDING DESIGN DEVELOPMENT IN RELATION TO THE POTENTIAL EXPANSION OF MIDDLETON PRIMARY SCHOOL
REPORT OF THE HEAD OF LEGAL SERVICES

1. Purpose

A call-in request relating to Delegated Decision 2453 has been received. The purpose of this agenda item is to consider the validity of this call-in request.

2. Action required

The Committee is asked to confirm that the call-in request relating to Delegated Decision 2453 is valid.

3. Background information

- 3.1 The Council's call-in procedure is set out in the Council's Constitution. A guide to the call-in process is attached as an appendix to this report.
- 3.2 Delegated Decision 2453 was published on 11 May 2016 and the last date for call-in was 18 May 2016. A copy of the delegated decision has been attached as an appendix to this report.
- 3.3 The Call-In Request Form was received by the Democratic Services Team on 18 May 2016 having been signed by Councillors Armstrong and Culley. A copy of the Call-In Request Form is attached as an appendix to this report. The Call-In Request Form identified the following reasons for call-in:
- 3.4 Inadequate consultation relating to the decision

There should be an initial consultation with the local community on the impact of the extension of the school by 210 places on the surrounding residential area, particularly relating to parking and traffic flows.

- 3.5 Relevant information not considered

The decision does not address the already difficult situation in the surrounding residential area of parking and traffic flow.

3.6 Viable alternatives not considered

The decision does not refer to a viable alternative being considered such as a new school in Wollaton and therefore we would challenge the need to spend £100,000 at this stage.

3.7 On the basis of the information provided, the Head of Legal Services has confirmed the validity of 3.6 above only. The Head of Legal Services commented that:

In terms of the reasons for call-in, I am satisfied that the request is valid in respect of reason d) viable alternatives not considered. In terms of reason b) ‘inadequate consultation relating to the decision’ and c) ‘Relevant information not considered’, these are considered invalid reasons for call-in. In relation to both reasons, the points identified are considered premature. In relation to b) the report indicates that a ‘full and thorough consultation process will be undertaken with all stakeholders if this option were to be progressed as a proposal’. Again, in relation to c) as the decision relates to feasibility, it is considered that the points identified at c) will be considered as part of the later consideration of feasibility in due course.

The Call-In Panel is asked to endorse this view.

4. List of attached information

The following information can be found in the appendices to this report

Appendix 1 – Overview and Scrutiny: Guide to Call-In

Appendix 2 – Delegated Decision 2453 – Early works including design development in relation to the potential expansion of Middleton Primary School

Appendix 3 – Call-in Request Form

5. Background papers, other than published works or those disclosing exempt or confidential information

None

6. Published documents referred to in compiling this report

Nottingham City Council’s Constitution

<http://www.nottinghamcity.gov.uk/article/24275/Nottingham-City-Councils-Constitution>

7. Wards affected

Wollaton West

8. Contact information

Rav Kalsi
Senior Governance Officer
0115 8763759
rav.kalsi@nottinghamcity.gov.uk

This page is intentionally left blank



Overview and scrutiny: Guide to call-in

What is call-in

Call-in is a mechanism for scrutinising Executive decisions. Overview and scrutiny has the power to ask for an Executive decision to be reconsidered if, during the five working days immediately following an Executive decision, valid concerns are raised about the way in which the decision has been taken, for example that relevant information was not considered. This power is set out in national legislation and arrangements for putting it into practice are in the Council's Constitution.

Making a request to call-in a decision

Executive decisions are published on the Council's website. Following publication of an Executive decision there is a period of five working days during which non-executive councillors can request that the decision be called-in. The decision is not allowed to be implemented until the period of five working days has expired.

Decisions that can be called-in are those of:

- The Executive Board
- A committee of the Executive Board
- An individual Portfolio Holder
- Executive decision made by an Area Committee
- Executive decisions (£50,000 or more) made by an officer under authority delegated by the Leader, Executive Board or a committee of the Executive Board or by an officer to officer sub-delegation of powers within the Council's Scheme of Delegation

with the exception of decisions made under the urgency procedure, which cannot be called-in.

Requests to call-in a decision must be made in writing using the Call-In Request Form and signed by three non-executive councillors. Where a political group comprises only 3 or 2 councillors, and where there are no other minority groups or independent councillors on the Council, the requirement for three councillors to request reconsideration of the decision (call-in) is reduced to 2 (where the group comprises 3 councillors) and to 1 (where the group comprises 2 councillors).

Copies of the Call-In Request Form are available from the Overview and Scrutiny Team (contact details at the end of this Guide) and on the Councillor Resource Centre intranet pages.

When requesting a decision is called-in, at least one of the following reasons must be cited, along with further explanation for the reason(s) given:

- The decision is outside the Council's policy and/or budgetary framework
- Inadequate consultation relating to the decision
- Relevant information not considered
- Viable alternatives not considered

- Justification for the decision to be open to challenge on the basis of the evidence considered.

What happens when a request to call-in a decision is received

The Head of Democratic Services is responsible for assessing the validity of call-in requests. If any doubt remains the Director of Legal and Democratic Services (the Council's Monitoring Officer) will make the decision on whether the request is valid or not. Defamatory and frivolous requests will be rejected.

At this time, the relevant decision-maker, Portfolio Holder, Director and contact colleague will be informed that implementation of the decision is suspended until the outcome of the call-in has been determined. If the suspended decision relates to a contract or other procurement issue, the Contract Procurement Manager should also be notified.

The Call-In Panel (a sub-committee of the Overview and Scrutiny Committee) is responsible for considering call-in requests. Therefore once a request is considered to be valid, a meeting of the Panel will be scheduled. This meeting must be held within seven working days of the receipt of the request, or at a later date if agreed by the Chair of Overview and Scrutiny.

Meetings of the Call-In Panel

The purpose of the Call-In Panel meeting is to:

- a) Agree that the call-in is valid as set out in the Council's Constitution
- b) Consider whether the Executive decision should be referred back to the decision-maker for further consideration or whether it can be implemented.

Suggested procedure to be followed

When the meeting begins the Chair will:

1. Ask the Panel to agree whether the call-in is valid and agree the parameters for the discussion.
2. Ask the relevant Portfolio Holder to briefly outline details of, and reasons for their decision [suggested time: 10 minutes]
3. Ask a representative of the councillors who requested the call-in to briefly outline their concerns and reasons for these [suggested time: 10 minutes]
4. Ask the Portfolio Holder (and their supporting colleagues) to briefly respond to the points raised [suggested time: 10 minutes]

Members of the Call-In Panel will then discuss the call-in request, the decision and invite the Portfolio Holder and the councillors who requested the call-in to respond to any questions raised by the Panel.

The Chair will invite the Portfolio Holder and a representative of the councillors who requested the call-in to sum up any final comments [suggested time: 5 minutes each]. Following this, the Portfolio Holder (and their supporting colleagues) and the councillors who requested the call-in may leave the meeting if they chose to as they are not required to remain at the meeting during the deliberations.

Focusing on the reasons for the call-in as given in the Call-In Request Form, and based on the evidence from the Portfolio Holder and the councillors who requested the call-in, the Panel will then decide to either:

- a) Require that the decision is reconsidered, and make recommendation(s) as to what should be taken into consideration; or
- b) Agree that the decision does not need to be reconsidered and can be implemented.

In both cases, reasons will be given by the Panel for its decision.

If the Panel agrees that the decision should be reconsidered it can:

- a) Refer the decision back to the decision-maker for reconsideration; or
- b) Refer the decision to full Council if they feel that the decision made is contrary to the Council's policy and/or budgetary framework.

In addition, the Panel can make other relevant recommendations which will be referred to the relevant Portfolio Holder, or the Executive Board for response.

What happens following the meeting of the Call-In Panel

Following the meeting, the relevant decision-maker Portfolio Holder, Director and contact colleague will be informed of the outcome of the meeting.

If the Panel decides that the decision does not need to be reconsidered, then it can be implemented immediately.

If the Panel refers the decision back to the decision-maker then it will be reconsidered in light of comments made by the Panel. The decision-maker can decide whether to amend the original decision or not before adopting a final decision. This final decision cannot be subject to further call-in.

Additional recommendations made by the Panel will be treated in the same way as any other recommendations made by overview and scrutiny, and referred to the relevant Portfolio Holder or Executive Board. They will be asked to provide a response to say whether they agree to implement the recommendation(s) and how they intend to do so. Progress on implementation will then be reviewed at a later date. If they decline to implement a recommendation they will be asked to explain why.

Contact information

For further information about call-in, or any other matters related to overview and scrutiny, contact Constitutional Services

Jane Garrard	0115 8764315	jane.garrard@nottinghamcity.gov.uk
Rav Kalsi	0115 8763759	rav.kalsi@nottinghamcity.gov.uk

This page is intentionally left blank

Nottingham City Council Delegated Decision



Nottingham
City Council

Reference Number:

2453

Author:

April Corey

Department:

Children and Families

Contact:

April Corey

(Job Title: Project Manager, Email: april.corey@nottinghamcity.gov.uk, Phone: 01158765521)

Subject:

Early works including design development relation to the potential expansion of Middleton Primary School

Total Value:

£100,000 (Type: Capital)

Decision Being Taken:

- 2016-17
1. To approve the undertaking of necessary surveys and design work to develop a robust feasibility for the potential expansion of Middleton Primary School.
 2. To approve the appointment of Design Services to develop early designs for the potential expansion of the primary school to increase capacity by a form of entry.

Reasons for the Decision(s)

It has been identified that there is a need to provide additional capacity in the Wollaton West Ward for September 2017 in order to meet the demand for pupils within the catchment area.

One option would be to increase school accommodation at Middleton Primary by 210 places to meet the need. Middleton Primary School is a local school within the Wollaton West Ward and rated as "good" by Ofsted which means that expanding the school meets the City Council policy of expanding successful schools. This would also support the Council priorities of access to a good school close to home for every young person in Nottingham and to guarantee a choice of places for every child at a local primary school.

This option will require significant building works on the site in order to provide sufficient accommodation to house the pupils. The early design and feasibility work will engage the school to develop the potential expansion at Middleton Primary as an option.

Other Options Considered:

Do nothing - This was discounted as the initial demand capacity is required in the area and early design are needed to be carried out to identify the viability of potentially expanding the school.

Background Papers:

None.

Published Works:	None.
Affected Wards:	Wollaton West
Colleague / Councillor Interests:	None.
Consultations:	A full and thorough consultation process will be undertaken with all stakeholders if this option were to be progressed as a proposal.
Crime and Disorder Implications:	<p>There are no crime and disorder implications.</p> <p>The safety of the staff and pupils will be taken into consideration within the design.</p>
Equality:	Please login to the system to view the EIA document: EIA Middleton Primary Expansion final.doc
Decision Type:	Portfolio Holder
Subject to Call In:	Yes
Call In Expiry date:	18/05/2016
Advice Sought:	Legal, Finance, Procurement, Equality and Diversity
Legal Advice:	<p>This report does not raise any significant legal issues. It is understood that the services are being commissioned as an option and that at this stage the obligation to undertake the statutory consultation for the school reorganisation is not engaged. Advice provided by Andrew James (Team Leader Contracts and Commercial) on 29/03/2016.</p>
Finance Advice:	<p>The proposed expansion of Middleton Primary School is part of the Primary Schools Re-organisation (PSR) which has been agreed in principle pending business cases for each scheme. The PSR programme is to be funded wholly by Basic Needs Grant, which is a capital allowance made to local authorities to support the capital requirements for providing new pupil places by expanding existing maintained schools, free schools or academies, or establishing new schools.</p> <p>Grant has been confirmed up to 2017/18 and an allocation has specifically been set aside for expansion in the Wollaton Area which is experiencing significant primary school place pressure and a continued upward trend for pupil growth in the coming years. This reports requests £100k to carry out pre construction and feasibility works and will be funded from basic needs grant allocated to the Wollaton area. The works are carried out on the understanding that they will be capitalised only if the proposed schemes goes ahead.</p> <p>Advice provided by Tina Adams (Capital and taxation Manager) on 30/03/2016.</p>

Procurement Advice:

The decision sought is to appoint the Council's internal Design Services team to undertake the works; this is a compliant route in accordance with Contract Procedure Rules. Should the internal service need to procure any external requirements to assist with their undertaking, these would need to be carried out in compliance with Contract Procedure Rules, and for which separate approval(s) may be required depending on the value(s) involved. Advice provided by Jonathan Whitmarsh (Procurement Team Leader) on 29/03/2016.

**Equality and Diversity
Advice:**

The proposal offers an opportunity for equality of opportunity for young people to attend a good rated school thus providing a good opportunity for better life outcomes. Consultation with all stakeholders will be important to ensure that everyone's needs are met.

Advice provided by Adisa Djan (Equalities and Diversity Consultant) on 14/03/2016.

Signatures

Sam Webster (PH for Education, Employment and Skills)

SIGNED and Dated: 06/05/2016

Alison Michalska (Corporate Director for Children and Adults)

SIGNED and Dated: 29/04/2016

This page is intentionally left blank

Equality Impact Assessment Form (Page 1 of 2)

Title of EIA/ DDM: Approval relating to early works including design development relating to potential expansion of Middleton Primary & Nursery School

Name of Author: April Corey

Department: Development and Growth

Service Area: Major Projects

Author (assigned to Covalent): N/A

Director: Alison Michalska

Strategic Budget EIA Y/N (please underline)

Brief description of proposal / policy / service being assessed:

This relates to potential expansion work at Middleton Primary & Nursery School to increase capacity from 420 places to a 630 place school with a 52 FTE place nursery.

At this stage, we are focusing on progressing early design works to fully understand the range of options and scope of works that are available for the school.

Information used to analyse the effects on equality:

Discussions have taken place with the school around the needs of staff, pupils and other users on site and will continue to develop during the feasibility works. The proposal aims to create a quality teaching and learning space that will be measured by agreeing that the new spaces are suitable in conjunction with the Head Teacher. The proposal supports the Council's priorities of providing access to a good school close to home for every young person.

Group	Could particularly benefit X	May adversely impact X	How different groups could be affected (Summary of impacts)	Details of actions to reduce negative or increase positive impact (or why action isn't possible)
People from different ethnic groups.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	The works will ensure access to education for young people in their local area.	All works will be compliant under the Equality Act 2010 and any proposals are discussed with the City Council Access Officer as required. No negative impact is expected.
Men	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Facilities will be provided to ensure young people have a safe and suitable learning environment appropriate to their needs.	
Women	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Creation of a quality teaching and learning space to allow the school to grow.	
Trans	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Proposals and works will promote integration and cohesion by considering the clustering of teaching areas based on key stage and play space.	
Disabled people or carers.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Pregnancy/ Maternity	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
People of different faiths/ beliefs and those with none.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Lesbian, gay or bisexual people.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Older	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Younger	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		

Other (e.g. marriage/ civil partnership, looked after children, cohesion/ good relations, vulnerable children/ adults).
Please underline the group(s) /issue more adversely affected or which benefits.

Outcome(s) of equality impact assessment:

- No major change needed
- Adjust the policy/proposal
- Adverse impact but continue
- Stop and remove the policy/proposal

Arrangements for future monitoring of equality impact of this proposal / policy / service:

Works will be assessed for any impact on equality during construction and post completion in the future by liaising with the contractor and school to ensure there has been no adverse impact. If approval is granted, it is anticipated works will start in early 2017.

Approved by (manager signature):

Rob Caswell, Programme Manager
Tel: 0115 8763408
Email: Robert.caswell@nottinghamcity.gov.uk

9/16

Date sent to equality team for publishing:

09.03.2016

Send document or link to:
equalityanddiversityteam@nottinghamcity.gov.uk

Nottingham City Council **Executive Decision Call-In Request Form**

This form should be submitted to the Head of Democratic Services, Legal and Democratic Services, Resources by midnight on the fifth working day after the decision publication date. The signed form should be submitted in original hard copy. If the form is being submitted after the office has closed on the fifth working day, it should be signed, scanned and emailed to all of the following individuals:

Head of Democratic Services
debra.lamola@nottinghamcity.gov.uk


Senior Governance Officers
jane.garrard@nottinghamcity.gov.uk
rav.kalsi@nottinghamcity.gov.uk

The original hard copy of the form must then be provided to the Head of Democratic Services on the following morning.

For further information about the call-in procedure please see the Overview and Scrutiny Guide to Call-In and/ or contact the Senior Governance Officers on 0115 8764313 or 0115 8763759.

Date of decision publication: 11/5/16..
Portfolio Holder Decision reference number: 214523
or Executive Board minute number:
or Executive Board Sub Committee minute number:
or Area Committee minute number:
or Officer Decision reference number:
Description of decision:	EXPANSION OF MIDDLETON PRIMARY SCHOOL IN WORTINGTON

The following signatories request that the above decision be called in.

1. Signature  Print name GEORGINA CHILLEY
2. Signature  Print name JIM ARMSTRONG
3. Signature Print name

Reason for requesting the decision be called in

The request for call-in must be based on one or more of the following reasons below.
[Tick the appropriate box or boxes and provide details for the reason, appending additional sheets if necessary]

Reason for requesting call-in:

- a) The decision is outside the budget/ policy framework

<p>a) The decision is outside the budget/ policy framework</p>	

Reason for requesting call-in:

- b) Inadequate consultation relating to the decision



There should be an initial consultation with the local community on the impact of the extension of the school by 210 places on the surrounding residential area, particularly relating to parking & traffic flows.

Reason for requesting call-in:

- c) Relevant information not considered



The decision does not address the already difficult situation in the surrounding residential area of parking & traffic flows.

Reason for requesting call-in:

- d) Viable alternatives not considered



The decision does not refer to a viable alternative being considered such as a new school in Wallaton.

Reason for requesting call-in:

e) Justification for the decision open to challenge on the basis of evidence considered

XH

+ therefore
this would challenge the need to
spend £100,000 at this stage.

Suggestions for Call In Panel meeting

If the call in request is valid a meeting of the Call In Panel will be held. Please list below any evidence and/ or contributors that you think should be made available to the Call In Panel.

[Please note that these will be considered as suggestions only and the final decision on evidence and contributors will be made by the Chair of the Call In Panel.]

Suggested list of evidence to be provided/ contributors to attend the Call In Panel meeting

For office use only:

Received on behalf of the Head of Democratic Services by: (signature)

Name: RAV KALSI

Date:

18/05/16

Time: 1622

Validation Check:
Head of Democratic Services

Date of publication: Date of call-in: In time: YES / NO

Office checks that call-in is valid against requirements as set out in the Constitution:
YES / NO

Reason:.....
.....
.....
.....
.....

Completed by: (signature)
..... (name)

Date: Time:

Validation Check: (if necessary)
Director of Legal and Democratic Services

Valid: YES

Reason: See attached
.....
.....
.....

Completed by: *Sarah Molyneux* (signature)
SARAH MOLYNEUX (name)

Date: 23.05.16 Time: 10:00am

Referrals:

Date copied to Corporate Director / Portfolio Holder
.....

Name of Corporate Director
.....

Name of Portfolio Holder
.....

Date copied to Chair of Overview and Scrutiny Committee:
.....

Head of Legal Services Validation check

Call-in reasons-Expansion of Middleton Primary school, Wollaton

1. The call-in request is in time, in writing, signed by the requisite number of councillors and identifies reasons for the call-in, as required under the call-in procedure.
2. The call-in is also valid in that the decision is not one exempted from call-in under the call-in procedure rules and is a relevant decision for call-in under those rules.
3. In terms of the reasons for call-in, I am satisfied that the request is valid in respect of reason d) ie viable alternatives not considered
4. In terms of reasons b) 'Inadequate consultation relating to the decision' and c) 'Relevant information not considered', these are considered invalid reasons for call-in. In relation to both reasons, the points identified are considered premature. In relation to b) the report indicates that 'a full and thorough consultation process will be undertaken with all stakeholders if this option were to be progressed as a proposal.' Again, in relation to c) as the decision relates to feasibility, it is considered that the points identified at c) will be considered as part of the later consideration of feasibility in due course.

SGM . 23-05-16

OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY – CALL-IN PANEL
15 JUNE 2016
CONSIDERATION OF CALL-IN REQUEST REGARDING DELEGATED DECISION 2453 – EARLY WORKS INCLUDING DESIGN DEVELOPMENT IN RELATION TO THE POTENTIAL EXPANSION OF MIDDLETON PRIMARY SCHOOL
REPORT OF THE HEAD OF LEGAL SERVICES

1. Purpose

To consider the call-in request relating to Delegated Decision 2453 – Early works including design development in relation to the potential expansion of Middleton Primary School.

2. Action required

2.1 The Committee is asked to:

- a) consider the information provided in relation to Delegated Decision 2453 and the reasons given for requesting a call-in of that decision and use that information to inform questioning and discussion;
- b) focusing on the reasons for the call-in as given in the call-in request form, and based on the evidence from the Portfolio Holder, his supporting colleague(s), and the councillors who requested the call-in, decide to either:
 - i) require that the decision is reconsidered, and make recommendation(s) as to what should be taken into consideration; or
 - ii) agree that the decision does not need to be reconsidered and can be implemented.

3. Background information

- 3.1 Delegated Decision 2453 was published on 11 May 2016. Councillor Sam Webster, as the decision-taker, supported by Nick Lee, Head of Access and Learning have been invited to attend the meeting to outline details of, and reasons for the decisions and answer questions from the Panel regarding this.
- 3.2 The call-in request form was signed by Councillors Jim Armstrong and Georgina Culley. These councillors have been invited to attend the meeting to outline the reasons for requesting the call-in and to answer questions from the Panel regarding this.
- 3.3 Focusing on the valid reasons for the call-in as given in the call-in request form, and based on the evidence from the Portfolio Holder, supporting colleagues,

and the councillors who requested the call-in, the Panel needs to decide to either:

- a) require that the decisions are reconsidered, and make recommendation(s) as to what should be taken into consideration; or
- b) agree that the decision does not need to be reconsidered and can be implemented.

In both cases, the Panel needs to provide reasons for its decision.

3.4 If the Panel agrees that the decision should be reconsidered it can:

- a) refer the decisions back to the Portfolio Holder for reconsideration; or
- b) refer the decisions to full Council if it feels that the decision made is contrary to the Council's policy and/or budgetary framework.

3.5 In addition, the Panel can make other relevant recommendations which will be referred to the relevant Portfolio Holder, or the Executive Board for response.

4. List of attached information

4.1 None.

5. Background papers, other than published works or those disclosing exempt or confidential information

None

6. Published documents referred to in compiling this report

6.1 Delegated Decision 2453 – Early works including design development in relation to the potential expansion of Middleton Primary School.

7. Wards affected

Wollaton West

8. Contact information

Rav Kalsi

Senior Governance Officer

rav.kalsi@nottinghamcity.gov.uk

0115 87 63759